

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,241	07/30/2003	Roger Blot	Serie 5856	9384	
75	7590 08/29/2005		EXAMINER		
Linda K. Russ	Linda K. Russell			SHAW, CLIFFORD C	
Air Liquide Suite 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2700 Post Oak Blvd.			1725		
Houston, TX	77056	DATE MAILED: 08/29/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\mathcal{H}$			11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany	10/630,241	BLOT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
7 444 00 5475 444	Clifford C. Shaw	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this commun  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	· •	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-15,17-24 and 26-40 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-15,17-24 and 26-40 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ object drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

## **Detailed Action**

- 1.) The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2.) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3.) Claims 12-15, 17-24, and 26-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaidya et al. (6,051,805) taken with the WIPO document no. WO02/058878 (cited by applicant). The patent to Vaidya et al. (6,051,805) discloses a method and system of managing an electric arc welding shop with features claimed, including: monitoring several torches as discussed at column 5, lines 12-15; monitoring average wire feed speed and electrical current as discussed at column 5, lines 15-35; determining productivity factors associated with duty factor or deposition rate as discussed at column 1, lines 39-55. The claims differ from Vaidya et al. (6,051,805) in calling for the use of a communication network to transmit monitored welding parameters to a central device. This difference does not patentably distinguish over the prior art. At the time applicant's invention was made, it would have been obvious to have used any well known technique to join the multiple monitors taught by Vaidya et al. (6,051,805). In particular, it would have been obvious to have used a communication network

Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

as claimed, the motivation being the teachings of the WIPO document no. WO02/058878 that such is advantageous for monitoring multiple welding stations from a central device (see the abstract and figure 1 of the WIPO document no. WO02/058878, note the use of network 40 to effect the monitoring at 78 of weld stations 10).

- 4.) Applicant's arguments filed 6/27/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the use of a communication network distinguishes over the prior art. This argument is not persuasive. The use of a communication network for the system of Vaidya et al. (6,051,805) would have been obvious, as discussed above.
- 5.) Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1725

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Clifford C Shaw at telephone number 571-272-1182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday of the first week of the pay period and on Tuesday through Friday of the second week of the pay period.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas G. Dunn, can be reached at 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clifford C Shaw Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

August 24, 2005